

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/701,207	11/04/2003	Luis A. Diaz	INST482CON2	5170
51017 75	590 11/29/2006		EXAMINER	
INTEL. PROP./ RND			GRAY, PHILLIP A	
STRYKER CORPORATION 4100 EAST MILHAM AVE.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
KALMAZOO, MI 49001-6197			3767	
			DATE MAILED: 11/29/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant/s)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/701,207	DIAZ ET AL.			
Office Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Phillip Gray	3767			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Se	eptember 2006.	•			
, 	·				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 183-201 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 183-201 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)	-	(770, 440)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to applicant's communication filing of 9/18/2006.

Currently amended claims 183-201 are pending and rejected. Applicant previously cancelled claims 1-182. See below.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 183-201 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/701,207

Art Unit: 3767

Claims 183-201 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Archibald (U.S. Patent Number 4,236,880) in view of Epstein et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5,100,380).

Archibald discloses an integrated medication delivery system for delivering medication to a patient suitable for complete sterilization by a fluid (generally column 3-16 and figures 1-19). The Archibald medication delivery system contains a base housing (23), a medication reservoir (from 14 to 16 and listed not shown) with multiple ports (not numbered), a pump assembly (108,11,114,117) with inlet and outlet that alternate between open and closed state (14,15), a port (14) and actuator and drive assembly (20 or 122, 98,96) (See figure 7 specifically), and Archibald contains structures that are fully capable of performing all limitations of the claims (i.e. Sterilization by fluid if device was opened by actuator 20). Archibald further discloses pinch levers at the oulet and inlet in a normally closed state (see 44,46,42), a continuous fluid flow path, and an actuator (20 and 102,118) that moves the levers to maintain the open/closed state for medication delivery. Archibald discloses a motor (94), engagement arms (44, 38, 46, ect), actuation arms (96 or 20) and pistons near the plunger (109,111,115,117) with actuation and pump ends that contain a diaphragm seal (38,46,40,36) and pump housing (10,12) which draws medication into said pump housing (10) and to displace the medication.

Archibald discloses the claimed invention except for the first and second actuator positions (a first that holds the valves in a one open/one closed state, and a second that

Application/Control Number: 10/701,207 Page 4

Art Unit: 3767

holds both valves open). Epstein teaches that it is known to use a first and second actuator positions as set forth in paragraphs at column 18 line 39 through column 19 line 3 to provide a way and operation to prime the fluid medication system or to provide a non-valved flow path for direct flow. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the medication delivery system as taught by Archibald with a first and second actuator positions as taught by Epstein, since such a modification would provide the medication delivery system with a first and second actuator positions for providing a way and operation to prime the fluid medication system or to provide a non-valved flow path for direct flow.

Concerning claim 201, Archibald in view of Epstein discloses the claimed invention except for the single component plunger/port valve combo. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make these elements in one piece integral, since it has been held that forming in one piece an article which has formerly been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art. *Howard v. Detroit Stove Works, 150 U.S. 164 (1893)*.

Archibald in view of Epstein discloses all the elements as currently specified in the applicant's application, and these elements are fully capable of satisfying all structural, functional, operational, and spatial limitations as currently written in the claims. Therefore the current rejection is made and proper.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phillip Gray whose telephone number is (571) 272-7180. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on (571) 272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/701,207

Art Unit: 3767

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PA &

KEVIN C. SIRMONS SUPERVISORY PATER TO AMINER

Revir C. Sermons

Page 6